

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION - CINCINNATI**

MONTEZ J. BUSH,	:	Case No. 1:23-cv-226
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Judge Matthew W. McFarland
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
CINCINNATI RESTORATION	:	
INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

ENTRY AND ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (Doc. 4)

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Karen L. Litkovitz (Doc. 4), to whom this case is referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Plaintiff timely filed Objections (Doc. 5) in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). However, Plaintiff's objections are "vague, general, [and] conclusory" and, consequently, fail to "meet the requirement of specific." *Cole v. Yukins*, 7 F. Appx. 354, 356 (6th Cir. 2001). Thus, such objections are "tantamount to a complete failure to object." *See id.*

Therefore, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's Objections (Doc. 5) and hereby **ADOPTS** said Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the following:

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED** with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B);
2. The Court **CERTIFIES** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) that, for the reasons

explained in the Report and Recommendation, an appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and therefore **DENIES** Plaintiff leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff remains free to apply to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the Court of Appeals. See *Callihan v. Schneider*, 178 F.3d 800, 803 (6th Cir. 1999), overruling in part *Floyd v. U.S. Postal Serv.*, 105 F.3d 274, 277 (6th Cir. 1997); and

3. This matter is **TERMINATED** from the Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

By: 
JUDGE MATTHEW W. McFARLAND